Incorporating fish monitoring into your project

David H. Keller Patrick Center for Environmental Research Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University May 31, 2017

Before we get started

- What is your objective/goal?
 - To improve or protect water quality duh.
- Why is the water quality bad or in need of protection?
 - Because of that stressor over there.
- What stressor?
 - The impervious surface, the agriculture, the deforestation, urban sprawl, etc.
- How is that affecting the water quality?
 - Its causing increased sedimentation, flashiness, temperature fluctuations, changes in the water chemistry
 - Abiotic changes are causing changes in the biota
- What are you going to do about it?
 - We have a project!

Tell me more

- How is that project going to improve or protect the water quality?
 - It will.....
- How fast will the ABIOTIC response be?
 - May be immediate for somethings, longer for others (i.e., years)
- How large of an area or much of a stream will this project effect?
 - That depends.
- How will you know if your project worked?
 We will monitor stuff?
- Like what? Why?

Fish measures that may respond to your project

Depending on the stressor(s) addressed, the following may be useful for monitoring:

- Relative density Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE), proportions
- Density/Population size abundance; #/100m
- Community/assemblage structure
- Indices of biotic integrity (IBIs)
- Length-Frequency
- Age-Frequency
- Growth rate daily or annual growth
- Condition relative weight, relative condition
- DELTs Deformities, Lesions, and Tumors
- I'm sure I'm forgetting some

When to use fish?

- After you determine what stressor(s) your project will address
 - How will the physiochemical and biotic environments change in response?
- Choose the best monitoring tool(s) for the job
 - No silver bullet
 - Prioritize monitoring options by the measures that are most likely to respond to the stressors your project will address
- Use fish measures when aspects of their biology and ecology are:
 - the most likely parameter to respond to a change in the identified stressor (as caused by your project)
 - See Day 2 fish presentation on the biological characteristics of watersheds
 - Consult a fisheries professional

2 ways of looking at fish responses (**These are not mutually exclusive)

1) Assemblage/Community

- Shifts in amounts of species, groups, or guilds
- Changes in community structure, groupings (tolerance), or guild, etc.
- E.g., Assemblage similarity (ANOSIM)

2) Species specific

- Indicator species, species of concern
- Changes in number, growth, condition, length, age, biomass, or reproduction
- E.g., Mean condition of trout; # of Sea Lamprey redds or carcasses

Assemblage Response

-Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA)

-Each ellipse surrounds a group of samples

-How similar is each group? (e.g., US/DS; Before/After; Reference/Impaired)

-Have groups become more similar over time (in response to your project)?



Species Specific Responses



Types of fish responses and associated monitoring

- Electrofishing can be used to assess both assemblage and species specific responses
- Single pass electrofishing
 - Assessing relative densities (i.e., CPUE, proportions), condition, length-frequencies, biomass, growth rates (collecting material for age determination), reproduction
- Multi-pass electrofishing
 - Used to assess all of the above, plus abundance estimate (i.e., density per unit reach length or area)
 - Not sensitive to differences in crew size between visits
 - Assumptions: no emigration or immigration, consistent collection effort among passes, constant capture probability, descending removal pattern
 - Method used for the DRWI



Types of fish responses and associated monitoring

- Other techniques may be used for species specific responses
 - angling, seining, trapping, observation
 - To collect fish tissues or observe fish for signs of disease, deformities, lesions, tumors or growths.
 - Observation/counting nests, redds, or carcasses
 - Seining may be used to determine CPUE
- DRWI uses standardized electrofishing protocols for comparability across the basin



Examples of other techniques





Centrarchid (bass and sunfish) nests

(http://archive.northjersey.com/news/fish-nests-in-shallow-lakehopactong-waters-1.695636)

Catch rates from trapping (Keller 2011)

Habitat matters

- Habitat template model
 - If you build it they will come; the physiochemical environment dictates the assemblage found
- Therefore it is important to account for
 - Physical structure (e.g., woody debris, cobble)
 - Water conditions/quality (pH, DO, conductivity)
 - Flow and temperature regime
 - Other physiochemical aspects that influence fish assemblages
- The DRWI has standard protocols for assessing reach level habitat
 - Finer resolution habitat monitoring may be appropriate depending on your project

Disturbance

- Other models of community assembly also apply
 - E.g., Disturbance model Disturbances shape communities
 - An altered flow regime (e.g., periodic flashiness or drought) can shape an assemblage
- Interactions with other species are also important

Urban and Ag Syndromes

- While single causes may be present in some cases
- Many disturbances affect many stream processes and stream assemblages in different ways
- Urban Syndrome (well known, i.e., I didn't make it up)
- Agricultural Syndrome (Made this up, but others probably have too)

Stressors: The Urban Syndrome

- Contaminants
- Eutrophication
- Low Dissolved Oxygen
- Habitat loss
- Loss of riparian/floodplain function
- Flashy Hydrology
- Erosion
- Sedimentation-Embeddedness
- Poor habitat
- Shortened, reduced food base
- Higher temperatures
- Passage blocks

Flashy discharge downstream of two main storm sewers



Median daily statistic (30 years) — Discharge A.

OF NATURAL SCIENCES of DREXEL UNIVERSITY

Response: Urban Syndrome

- Fewer cold water species
- Fewer intolerant species
- More tolerant species
- More omnivores





Response at high urbanization

Increase in:

• Estuarine (Mummichog)



- Arid/Great Plains (Green sunfish, Fathead minnow, Western Mosquitofish)
- Large river species (Spottail Shiner)







THE ACADEMY OF NATURAL SCIENCES of DREXEL UNIVERSITY

Stressors: Ag Syndrome

- High nutrient loading
- Channel simplification (channelization, etc.)
- Loss or reduction of forested riparian zone
- Bank and channel disturbance (livestock)
- Low summer flows (intermittency of some small head water tributaries)







Response: Ag Syndrome

- Many of those measured by IBIs
 IBIs originally developed for agricultural landscapes
- Possibly higher abundance due to nutrient enrichment, but unclear which taxa are benefitted
- Increase in early colonizer species that are able to move out and in drying and newly wetted streams
 - E.g., Creek Chub



Species or guild specific responses

- Fish dispersal limited mostly by:
 - Reproductive capabilities (Balon 1975)
 - Affinities for different substrates during spawning, egg, or larval development (Balon 1975)
 - Possible stressors
 - » altered pH, DO, conductivity, temperature and flow regime
 - » Substrate impacts/decreased pore space: siltation, embeddedness
 - Possible response (assuming negative but opposite could be true species dependent)
 - » Absence from the local area
 - » Reduced abundance
 - » Age structure/Reproduction few or no young fish
 - E.g., adult trout present but not reproducing

Species or guild specific responses

- Weight-length relationships can indicate fatness, gonad development, and provide a measure of overall well-being (Le Cren 1951)
 - O/E approach
 - Condition determined by:
 - Physical and biological factors related to ingestion, digestion, and metabolism (Anderson and Neumann 1996 p.458)
 - Possible stressors
 - » altered pH, DO, conductivity, nutrients, turbidity, temperature and flow regime
 - » Substrate impacts as they relate to foraging: siltation, embeddedness
 - Possible response
 - » Decreased or increased condition species/guild dependent

Assemblage Recovery

- Not well established for projects
- Depends on regional species pool
 - Physiochemical environment may change due to restoration but regional species pool may no longer include sensitive species to recolonize restored habitat
- May not be well described by IBI or metric approach
- Finer scale species, group or guild specific responses may be of use
 - Using tolerance groups or expected species specific changes
 - Testing hypothesized guild responses to restoration
 - E.g., decreases in herbivores in response to reduced nutrients
- Aspects of the Target Fish Community approach may be applicable (Bain and Meixler 2008)

Accounting for scale

- Need to understand the influence of variables at higher and lower scales, and temporally for your project
- Identify the scale(s) on which your project is operating/influencing the stream
 - Regional
 - Climate, geology, current land use and landscape factors (dams, slopes), nutrients, chemistry, etc.
 - Local
 - Reach 100, 200, 300m length of stream
 - Channel Unit/Mesohabitat riffle, run, pool
 - Microhabitat depth, velocity, substrate of individual
 - Historical
 - Land use change, species introductions, past industry

Environmental Filtering Model: Food for thought

INTERCONTINENTAL FISH-ASSEMBLAGE COMPARISON 367





Poff 1997

THE ACADEMY OF NATURAL SCIENCES of DREXEL UNIVERSITY

Tonn et al. 1990

Hypothetical approach: Project/study design example 1

- Problem: Channelized stream resulting in 1)flashiness, 2)erosion DS, 3)poor structural complexity
- Solution/hypothesis: Reconnecting stream to floodplain will reduce 1-2 and improve 3
- BACI; Monitor before and after, include a control and impact if possible
- Physiochemical monitoring may consist of:
 - discharge monitoring (link to flashiness)
 - bank erosion pins (link to erosion DS)
 - amount of woody debris (link to structural complexity)

Hypothetical approach: Project/study design example 1

- Fish hypothesized to respond to changes in physiochemical environment.
- Potential fish response/monitoring may consist of:
 - Assemblage monitoring of restored and downstream reaches (link to stressors via generalized stressor gradient)
 - Expect assemblage shift away from most tolerant fishes
 - Expect decrease in DELTs with overall decreased disturbance
 - Expect improved IBI score
 - Expect increased Trout and/or pool species (link to woody debris/structural complexity)
 - Expect increased richness with increased structural complexity
 - Expect changes in growth rates or condition of some species
 - Expect more stable age and length frequency structures
 - Expect species previously absent to colonize area if present in regional species pool - depends on species' proximity to the restored reach

Hypothetical approach: Project study design example 2

- Problem: Eutrophication of headwater stream
- Solution/hypothesis: Implementing ag BMP will reduce nutrient input to a more natural state.
- BACI; Monitor before and after, include a control and impact if possible
- Physiochemical monitoring may consist of:
 - nutrient monitoring (link to eutrophication)
- Potential fish monitoring (depends on local species):
 - Fish hypothesized to respond to changes in physiochemical environment (nutrients).
 - Assume only tolerant fishes are present due to history; IBIs and metrics of limited use
 - Recommend monitoring guild or fish biomass, fish condition, and fish growth rates which should decrease in response to decreasing nutrients

Hypothetical approach: Project study design example 3

- Problem: Flashy hydrology due to large amounts of impervious surface
- Solution/hypothesis: Installing rain gardens will reduce runoff and decrease flashiness
- BACI; Monitor before and after, include a control and impact if possible
- Physiochemical monitoring may consist of:
 discharge monitoring (link to flashiness)
- Fish monitoring (depends on local species):
 - Annual assemblage monitoring
 - Or identify a species or guild specific response to flashiness

Available Data

- Available fish data (and potential partners!)
 - NJDEP, NJDFW, USGS, EPA
 - The Academy of Natural Sciences/Delaware River
 Watershed Initiative
- Consult/collaborate with fisheries professionals

Questions?

Feel free to contact when considering monitoring options.

- David Keller (<u>dhk44@drexel.edu</u>; 215-299-1150)
- Allison Stoklosa (<u>ams844@drexel.edu</u>; 215-299-1150)